

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 81408-4300 3940 09/15/2003 Myriam Golembo 10/664,605 **EXAMINER** 28765 7590 12/07/2006 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP BORGEEST, CHRISTINA M PATENT DEPARTMENT **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006 1649 DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,605	GOLEMBO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christina Borgeest	1649	

	Christina Borgeest	1649			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A blief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		<i>,</i> ,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>31 and 36-42</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 34,35 and 45-96.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			· · · · · · ·		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N discrete or on the date of filing a N discrete of fi	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A desa NOT also the smallestice:		b		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		•		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no support for the new limitation of claim 31, "provided that when Xdd is Ala, Xaa is Leu".

Continuation of 13. Other: As stated above, there is no support in the specification as originally filed for the new limitation of claim 31, namely, "provided that when Xdd is Ala, Xaa is Leu." Applicant indicated that the claims were amended as per the Examiner's suggestion. However, when such amendments were discussed during the interview (summary mailed 26 October 2006), the Examiner was under the impression that an explantion of support for such an amendment would be presented in writing with the after-final response. Such would complete the record and clarify any issues with regard to new matter. Without such accompanying explanation, the Examiner was unable to find clear support for the new claim limitations.

Clyabetz C. Kenneur ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER